UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/549,554	09/19/2005	Ingo Kienke	026032-4923	3782
	7590 08/04/200 LARDNER LLP	EXAMINER		
SUITE 500	T NIXI	BARFIELD, ANTHONY DERRELL		
3000 K STREET NW WASHINGTON, DC 20007			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3636	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/04/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Occurrence		10/549,554	KIENKE ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Anthony D. Barfield	3636				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap or Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLEHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DISTRICT IN THE MAILING DEPTH OF THE MAILING DEPT	NATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1)[\	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22 A	April 2008					
,	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.						
′=	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
٥,١	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Dispositi	on of Claims	, ,					
· · ·		nn					
•	Claim(s) <u>17-35</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6)						
·		u.					
•	7) Claim(s) 22,23,31 and 32 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
0)[are subject to restriction and/c	or election requirement.					
Applicati	on Papers						
9)	The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	∍ 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11)	11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
2) Notic 3) Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate				

Application/Control Number: 10/549,554 Page 2

Art Unit: 3636

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

Claims 17-35 are objected to because of the following informalities: The word "toothing" needs to be changed to either tooth or teething for clarity. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 17-21,24-26,28-30,33-35 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Heesch. Heesch shows a recliner mechanism (10,16,26,32,36,46,50) comprising a first fitting part (16) which has a first toothing (22); a latching element (26) which has a second toothing (28), that is engageable with the first toothing; a clamping element (32) which has a third toothing (38); while a toothed element (46) including a fourth toothing (50) encircles a spring (52) having a leg spring. The spring is configured to act on the toothed element (see Fig. 1) and indirectly on the clamping element via the third toothing and fourth toothing to hold the latching element in engagement with the first fitting part. The clamping element has a control contour (circle) which corresponds to the control contour (circle) of the latching element (see Fig. 1).

Regarding claims 20 and 35, a toothed element (36,38) comprises inner (36) and outer rings (38) whereby a spring (40) having a leg spring is arranged concentrically therebetween and is encircled by the toothed element, which acts with a clamping element (30) having a third

toothing. Each of the, clamping element and toothed element have a respective fastening opening (which receives a pivot pin 34, 48) but inherently may receive a transmission rod. Heesch further shows the latching element, clamping element and toothed element are mounted on a second fitting part (10).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 27 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Heesch. Heesch shows all of the teachings of the claimed invention except the use of a flat spring. It would have been an obvious matter of design choice to modify the spring of Heesch with a flat spring since applicant has not disclosed that a flat spring solves any stated problem and it appears that the spring as taught by Heesch, would perform equally well.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 22-23 and 31-32 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 17-35 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Anthony D. Barfield whose telephone number is 571-272-6852. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/549,554 Page 5

Art Unit: 3636

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated

information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Anthony D Barfield/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3636

adb July 21, 2008